

Stakeholder Input Tracking Report

ITEM	PROGRAM	DESCRIPTION	CATEGORY	Area	FEE IMPACT	STATUS	RECOMMENDATION
	1 Police	Explore telecom expansion costs. Are costs to expand similar to cost to build new facility to expand Police Ops Phase 2?	Tech	All	Minor	Changed	3,250 sq. ft. of excess capacity in telecom room; to be costed at telecom building historical cost
	2 Police	Inclusion of training facility in service level calc. Does the included facility meet the test of eligible infrastructure?	Q&A	All	NA	NA	Meets definition. Training facility is at work location.
	3 Police & Fire	Can calls for service be used to distribute costs between land use types (residential, non-residential-comm, indus, etc.) or some other functional population calculation such as demand hours per day?	Tech	All	Reallocation between land uses	Exploring	Both departments are gathering data for response by land use type. Consultant is also exploring other functional population based allocations.
	4 Overall	Assure costs and sq. ft. throughout.	Tech	All	Error correction	Changed	Will be checked in each iteration
	5 Fire	Citywide or regional service level?	Tech	S	\$425 single family	Changed	Use calculated LOS, there was no request or intent the change LOS
	6 Fire	Is it appropriate to include Fire Station 181 in current level of service?	Q&A	NC	NA	NA	Do not remove from LOS calculation as this is an interim health and safety related closure, service is in place in alternate location
	7 Overall	Review financing assumptions. Consider existing balances and projected revenue to determine amount to finance. (Raised in Parks, but is overall.)	Tech	All	TBD	To change	Implement for all areas and updated for 6/30/18 pre-audit or projected 1/1/2019 balances
	8 Parks	Reconsider including Goodyear Ballpark allocation in level of service	Tech	NC	\$ (750)+ single family	Changed	Remove from current service level calculation
	9 Parks	Cost per acre compared to Phoenix.	Q&A				Compared favorably at IIP level.
	10 Parks	Allocate acres to remaining reimbursement in South.	Tech	S	None	Revision	The reimbursement is for the land needed for the growth related park expansion included in draft. This is treating this as a cost of the project.
	11 Parks	Reconsider method for allocating land use between residential and non-residential. Should both geographic areas be the same?	Tech	All	Minor	Exploring	Same demand assumptions were used.
	12 Parks	What is source of the 1.5 hours for non-residential use?	Q&A				Non-residential typically has either no or very little allocation or parks facilities. It is intended to provide a reasonable, allocation to non-residential based on judgement.
	13 Parks	Highlight the increase in commercial resulting from changing allocation between land uses.	Presentation	All	NA	Changed	Implemented in 6/25 work session and will be included in future presentations
	14 Overall	Reviewed basis for estimates, use of recent activity to adjust MAG figure.	Q&A				Discussed

Stakeholder Input Tracking Report

ITEM	PROGRAM	DESCRIPTION	CATEGORY	Area	FEE IMPACT	STATUS	RECOMMENDATION
15	Overall	Is 10-yr forecast for number of permits reasonable?	Q&A				Discussed
16	Overall	Does MAG data consider trends to higher density in different land uses such as industrial? (Requested supporting source for further analysis.)	Q&A				Discussed
17	Overall	Update to 10th Edition of ITE	Tech	All	Minor	Changed	Updated
18	Streets	Calculate multi-family at service unit level	Tech	All	None	Changed	Implement for clarification
19	Streets	Address/explain gap between calculated and proposed level of service, such as identify developer anticipated miles	Tech	All	None	Changed infrastructure included	Discussed the concept that the current service level includes both city installed and developer installed arterials. Do not have sufficient data to gather data.
20	Streets	Consider incorporating all arterial lane miles and showing responsible party/funding source to drill down to impact fee funds portions	Tech	All	None	Changed infrastructure included	Data not available without significant extension of time-line. Addressed the overall streets to be included via a change to include only streets not likely to be an obligation.
21	Streets	Ensure in-lieu payments are accounted for/plan to provide credits or reimbursements	Tech	All	None	Changed	Included, original intent
22	Streets	Establish costs to be included: capacity expansion only, utility relocations, sidewalks, street lighting, removal of existing....	Policy				TBD
23	Streets	Consider street by street analysis for cost inclusion as means to address scalloped streets and/or other barriers	Tech	All	Unknown	Changed infrastructure included	Changed to include only streets not to be required of development.
24	Streets	Review to ensure existing replacement costs are excluded	Tech	All	NA	Changed	Included, original intent
25	Streets	How is this linked to the General Plan?	Q&A				Discussed
26	Streets	Uses maps and colors to communication message	Presentation				Will used maps where appropriate
27	Overall	Impact of moratorium	Revenue	All	None	No Change	Will not impact fee calculation. Cannot increase fee to recoup impact of statutory moratorium.
28	Overall	Impact of existing credits/reimbursements addressed throughout/via construction sales tax	Revenue	All	None	No Change	Discussed , net zero change concept on fee calculation. Infrastructure based caps are addressed via the construction sales tax.
29	Overall	Impact of development agreements that cap fees	Revenue	All	None	No Change	
30	Water	Revenue calculation may be flawed with using 3/4 meter EDU	Tech	All	None	Changed	No impact on fee calculation

Stakeholder Input Tracking Report

ITEM	PROGRAM	DESCRIPTION	CATEGORY	Area	FEE IMPACT	STATUS	RECOMMENDATION
31	Utilities	Multifamily units per EDU should be lower	Tech	All	Medium	Changed	Modified MFR to be 0.75 EDU per unit; other non-residential modified to comport more closely with IWMP
32	Water	Assure LOS is consistent with IWMP, proper for land use such as multi-family	Tech	All	Minor	Changed	Modified MFR to be 0.75 EDU per unit; other non-residential modified to comport more closely with IWMP
33	Water	Charge all single family as 3/4 even if 1 inch meter/attributes vs fixtures	Tech	All	NA	No change	City data and rate planning supports higher use by 1" meters
34	Wastewater	Charge all single family as 3/4 even if 1 inch meter/attributes vs fixtures	Tech	All	NA	No change	City data and rate planning supports higher use by 1" meters
35	Water	Oversize on surface water discussion	Q&A				Discussed
36	Water	Correct financial assumption and allocations on surface water plant. Costs incurred due to expansion - anaerobic digester - all costs to growth vs. proportionate	Tech	All	High	Changed	Modified allocation of Surface Water Plant between north and south to correct over allocation to the north in 3/21 draft IIP
37	Wastewater		Policy				TBD
38	Overall	Treat credits similar to excess capacity	Tech	All	NA	NA	Evaluated on case by case basis. Resources are maintained in a separate restricted fund and would be available if collections exceed the credits for infrastructure already installed.
39	Overall	Analyze impact of credit obligations use of construction sales tax	Revenue	All	Unknown	No Change	
40	Overall	Carve out areas to be full fee areas only. RE: Palm Valley not in North/Central.	Tech	NC	None	No Change	Would require a new LUA and IIP.