

3. ROLL CALL

Council	6 -	Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, Councilmember
Present		Pizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp
Council	1 -	Councilmember Hohman
Excused		

MOTION by Councilmember Campbell, SECONDED by Councilmember Osborne to EXCUSE Councilmember Hohman from the Regular Meeting. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes6 -Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, CouncilmemberPizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp

Excused 1 - Councilmember Hohman

Staff Present: City Manager Brian Dalke, City Attorney Roric Massey, and City Clerk Maureen Scott

4. COMMUNICATIONS

None.

5. CITIZENS COMMENTS/APPEARANCES FROM THE FLOOR

None.

6. CONSENT

Councilmember Stipp requested that Consent Agenda Item 6.4 be moved to the Business Agenda.

MOTION by Vice Mayor Lauritano, SECONDED by Councilmember Stipp to APPROVE Consent Agenda Items 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 and 6.5. The motion carried by the following vote:

- Ayes 6 Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, Councilmember Pizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp
- Excused 1 Councilmember Hohman
- 6.1MINUTES
67-2015Approve draft minutes of a Regular Meeting held on September 28, 2015 and
a Regular Meeting held on October 5, 2015.
- 6.2 <u>15-5677</u> <u>ACCEPTANCE OF 2015 CBRNE GRANT AWARD FROM ARIZONA</u> DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FOR POLICE DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:

Accept the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, Explosive (CBRNE) Response Team Sustainment/Enhancement Grant of \$50,000 awarded by the Arizona Department of Homeland Security to the Goodyear Police Department, and authorize the City Manager or designee to execute any and all documents necessary for the award and administration of the grant.

6.3 <u>15-5689</u> <u>ACCEPTANCE OF 2015 CBRNE GRANT AWARD FROM ARIZONA</u> <u>DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FOR FIRE</u> <u>DEPARTMENT</u>

RECOMMENDATION:

Accept the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, Explosive (CBRNE) Response Team Sustainment/Enhancement Grant of \$50,000 awarded by the Arizona Department of Homeland Security to the Goodyear Fire Department, and authorize the City Manager or designee to execute any and all documents necessary for the award and administration of the grant.

6.5 <u>15-5692</u>

DECLARATION OF PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS ADJACENT TO 143RD AVENUE AND 145TH AVENUE RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 15-1728 AUTHORIZING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENTS ADJACENT TO PORTIONS OF 143RD AVENUE AND 145TH AVENUE TO BE ADMINISTERED AND MAINTAINED BY THE CITY; REQUIRING RECORDATION; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

7. BUSINESS

6.4 <u>15-5691</u> <u>ACCEPTANCE OF A QUIT CLAIM DEED FOR A PORTION OF</u>

BULLARD AVENUE

Real Estate Coordinator Linda Beals presented. The City was recently contacted by a representative from the Maricopa County Assessor's office regarding a portion of Bullard Avenue that is currently owned by a private entity with unpaid taxes. This parcel is close to being foreclosed upon for the taxes. The owner is Goodyear Investors LLC, and they are willing to dedicate the parcel to the city if the city pays the back taxes, which are approximately \$5,000. The dedication and payment of the accrued taxes will resolve the ownership issue of the city sidewalk along Bullard Avenue.

The city initially accepted and recorded the dedication of the west half of Bullard Avenue between the Thomas Road alignment and McDowell Road (65 foot width) in late 2001. In early 2003, the Final Plat for Goodyear Planned Regional Center Parcel 9 was approved and recorded. The plat showed the additional area for the turn lane and sidewalk, but it was not part of the actual platted area or dedicated on the plat. It was assumed to have been dedicated with the prior dedication in late 2001. The two recordings created a .054 sliver of land that became a separate tax parcel owned by Goodyear Investors LLC.

Council Discussion:

>Asked for the history of this issue. Beals explained that several years ago a plat was recorded. The plat showed a street that existed and had already been recorded. However, there was a gap, and the bus bay was not part of the right-of-way dedication. The plat only went up to where the right-of-way dedication should have been. This was an error on the city's part.
>Asked what would happen if we don't pay the back taxes. Beals responded that it will go into foreclosure and we will have a third party owner of a sliver of land adjacent to our right-of-way. That third party owner would have no obligation to dedicate it to us.

>Asked if there is a way to go back and resolve this with the argument that it wasn't platted properly. Beals responded that it is possible, but Council would have to determine if it is worth the cost and the time that it would take to try to fight this.

>Where is the \$5K coming from to pay for this? Engineering Director Rebecca Zook reported that Engineering has a budget item of \$10K related to real estate that is an appropriate location to take the money from.

MOTION BY Vice Mayor Lauritano, SECONDED BY Councilmember Pizzillo, APPROVE Consent Agenda Item 6.4. The motion carried by the following vote:

- Ayes6 -Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, CouncilmemberPizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp
- **Excused** 1 Councilmember Hohman

7.1 15-5573 PUBLIC HEARING TO ADOPT THE PROPOSED FIVE-YEAR WATER AND WASTEWATER RATE STRUCTURE EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2016

Mayor Lord opened the public hearing at 6:11 p.m.

Deputy City Manager Bob Beckley, presented. Beckley stated that the purpose of this process is to protect Goodyear's water supply and maintain reliability of water and wastewater systems.

This plan was developed to deliver the highest quality service to our customers at the lowest cost possible. Utility rate studies are performed every five years to determine best practices and common standards compared to similar municipalities.

The city's water and wastewater utilities are Enterprise funds and are financed solely by their rates and fees. No General Fund or tax revenue is received. Revenues must be sufficient to meet operations and maintenance, debt service, capital requirements, and established case reserve or fund balance targets. The city's utilities are designed to operate on a break-even basis over time.

The Water Planning Committee (WPC) was established in April 2014. The purpose of the committee was to discuss the city's future water operations and rates. The committee consisted of nine members and two alternates. They represented various neighborhoods and entities in the Goodyear water and/or wastewater service area. Nine meetings were held between October 2014 and May 2015. The committee presented their recommendations to the City Council on June 25, 2015.

On October 6, 2014, Council approved a purchase order for the Integrated Water Master Plan (IWMP) contract with Carollo Engineers, Inc. The IWMP provides the city with a comprehensive master plan that analyzes population, economic development and growth, redevelopment, water supply availability, drought management, changes in water and wastewater treatment technologies, engineering, and new water quality regulations.

Beckley introduced Guy Carpenter, representing Carollo Engineers. Carpenter is in charge of the Integrated Water Master Plan Update. Carpenter reported key findings and itemized proposed five-year CIP projects that are a high priority.

Carpenter reported that, when complete, the IWMP will have four areas of technical work to include a water resources master plan, water distribution master plan, watewater system master plan, and a reclaimed water master plan. The 5-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) was one of the first tasks of the city's IWMP to be completed for the Water Planning Committee process, with the full IWMP expected to be completed by the end of 2015.

The five-year CIP provided an assessment of the city's immediate needs for its water, wastewater, and reclaimed water systems, identifying capital improvements, rehabilitation projects, and quantifying funding requirements. A condition assessment study was also completed and provided to the Water Planning Committee to identify additional water and wastewater capital projects needed to maintain the integrity of the city's water and wastewater infrastructure.

The five-year CIP showed that the city's water system has insufficient water production, pumping, and storage capacity with significant investment needed to increase capacity in these areas and reduce the risk of an interruption to water supply, particularly in the summer months with peak demand times. The wastewater system was evaluated primarily on asset condition and identified a number of improvements to safely convey and treat wastewater, as well as comply with regulations.

The five-year CIP includes an investment of approximately \$38.9 million in water improvements and \$12.5 million in wastewater improvements. Work on the five-year CIP is planned to take place FY 2016 - FY 2020.

Beckley presented the recommendations of the WPC:

>Funding of Five-year CIP projects

>Central Arizona Project (CAP) water rate and inclusion as a separate line item on customer bills

>Further examination of a multi-family and commercial rate structures and cost of service in a manner that does not change the recommendations on residential rates or rate structures >Line oversizing and impact fee reimbursement

>Water/wastewater rates - reduced impact of rates in initial year by phasing-in programs and costs

>Non-rate fees and charges

>Implement another water citizen group to continue the efforts made by the WPC

CAP Cost per acre-foot: \$171.00

SRP (Salt River Project) water commodity cost per acre-foot: \$14.50

Dan Jackson representing Economists.com presented the proposed rate plans. He identified the components of Goodyear utility billing, which are water, wastewater, and sanitation. He emphasized that the proposed rate plan will not affect the city's sanitation rates.

Water rates are going up for a variety of reasons:

>The need for a five-year CIP.

>Existing budget expenses - operating costs such as salaries, insurance, electricity, utilities, building leases, equipment maintenance, gasoline, chemicals, etc. Most operating expenses increase with inflation about 3-5% per year; however certain expenses such as chemicals, electricity, and insurance, are expected to increase at higher rates.

>Budget supplemental - defined as anything over base budget. One-time supplementals are usually project-related that won't be in the city's CIP.

>CAP water costs (water rates only) - All of the city's physical water supplies are from groundwater. Arizona groundwater rules require sustainable pumping and/or groundwater replenishment. The city currently purchases CAP water for groundwater replenishment. >Impact fee reimbursements and line oversizing - the city needs a way to fund the cost of oversizing lines for growth planning.

Jackson showed the water and wastewater utility forecast for costs of service over the next five years and presented the recommended five-year rate plan. He also showed how the new rates will impact monthly charges for residential and commercial customers. The average Goodyear residential customer uses an average of 7,000 gallons of water per month and 5,000 gallons of wastewater. Based on the WPC's proposed recommendations, the average residential water and wastewater bill would increase by \$7.10 per month (or 9.9%) in 2016. The increase each following year would progress from 8.7% down to 4.0%.

The average Goodyear commercial customer uses an average of 50,000 gallons of water per month and 40,000 gallons of wastewater. Based on the WPC's proposed recommendations, the average commercial water and wastewater bill would increase by \$63.52 per month (or 11.0%) in 2016. The increase each following year would progress from 9.8% down to 3.3%.

Finance Director Larry Lange presented the non-rate fees and charges. These charges are for specific services that don't apply to all customers. He also presented recommendations for security deposits. If customers can show good payment history, they won't be charged the security deposit.

Beckley reported on customer impact and benefits. The benefits provide for the protection of Goodyear's water supply and ensure that the water supply is adequate for maximum demand days, and maintains water quality standards and regulations.

Public outreach consisted of WPC meetings, publications in the InFocus magazine, press releases, website homepage information, paid advertisements, social media and email blasts, direct mail postcards, and utility bill inserts. Customers and residents were encourage to leave feedback on the proposed rate changes.

Peter Minarik, WPC member, spoke in favor of the rate increase. The WPC came up with a conservative plan with a moderate impact to low income families. This rate increase is needed to provide for our water needs now and in the future.

Jason Battern, WPC Vice Chair, spoke in favor of the rate increase. We are at a time that we need to push forward with a plan in order to provide for our future water supply. This plan is overdue, and it is easier to absorb increased costs over a number of years.

Mario Columbia, WPC Chair, spoke in favor of the rate increase. This plan should be looked at as an investment, rather than an expense. We need water now and for the future of our children.

Mayor Lord closed the public hearing at 7:07 p.m.

Council Discussion:

>Thanked the WPC for their hard work and making tough decisions.

>This is a necessary investment in our future.

>Appreciates the steps taken to involve our citizens.

>It is the responsibility of the city to provide water, and it comes at a cost.

>Important that we look at our future obligation to connect to CAP in the next ten years. >How will impact fees affect the funding for some of the CIP projects? Beckley responded that some projects are eligible to be funded out of impact fee credits.

>Are the impact fees that have already been collected been used for water projects that have already been constructed? Are we only talking about future improvements? Lange responded that the impact fees that we collect are based on a study that was conducted, and all of those are restricted for that purpose. Some of the older development agreements don't cover all of the costs.

>Asked if this plan only corrects the problems of today's water system, but doesn't

address future needs. Beckley responded that the water necessary to support the growth of the community will be projected out into the next twenty years in the IWMP. Rate increases will probably be considered again in the next four to five years to address future needs.

>We have no choice but to move forward because it is important that we ensure the future water supply of the city.

>Assured residents that the city is looking out for their interests to the best of our ability. >Will do everything possible to make sure that costs are kept as reasonable as possible.

MOTION BY Vice Mayor Lauritano, SECONDED BY Councilmember Osborne, to ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 15-1718 ADOPTING THE PROPOSED FIVE-YEAR WATER AND WASTEWATER RATE STRUCTURE AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.The motion carried by the following vote:

Excused 1 - Councilmember Hohman

7.2 <u>15-5668</u> <u>PRELIMINARY PLAT FOR PEBBLECREEK PHASE II UNIT 55</u>

Long Range Planner Joe Schmitz presented. The applicant is requesting the subdivision of 41.63 acres into 217 single-family lots for a residential project known as PebbleCreek Phase II Unit 55, generally located at the northeast corner of McDowell Road and Loop 303. The developer is proposing two types of housing units within Unit 55. At the south side of the project will be 48 conventional single family detached residential lots. The balance of the site will consist of 169 villa lots. 162 of those lots will be attached duplexes. The property is zoned medium density residential. The density of the project is proposed at 5.21 dwelling units per acre.

There are multiple access points into PebbleCreek Phase II Unit 55 development along 169th Avenue. Approximately 9.46 acres or 22.7% (net acreage) of open space are proposed in the PebbleCreek Phase II Unit 55 development. A Homeowners Association (HOA) will maintain all community open space tracts, amenities, and right-of-way landscaping.

The developer has agreed to construct a perimeter wall and landscaping along the western boundary of the property to help mitigate noise from the Loop 303.

The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval subject to several stipulations. Since then, staff has met with the developer and modified some of the stipulations. Staff now recommends that Stipulations No. 6 and No. 7 be deleted from any motion to approve. Those two stipulations have been addressed by the City's Engineering Department and are no longer necessary.

Council Discussion:

>The General Plan does not endorse building residential next to a freeway. Mayor Lord clarified that this development was planned prior to the adoption of the 2025 General Plan.
>Asked if the medium density zoning for this property would allow a care facility to be built there. Schmitz responded that he would need to look at the PAD to see what uses were

Ayes6 -Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, CouncilmemberPizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp

allowed on that property before he can give a definite answer.

>This project will use Fire Station 185 that is three miles away. Concerned that this is pushing the limits on response times. Fire Chief Paul Luizzi responded that staff doesn't have concerns for this project. There are other triggers that are taken into consideration. Those triggers will be considered for future development in the area.

MOTION BY Councilmember Campbell, SECONDED BY Councilmember Pizzillo, to APPROVE a request for the Preliminary Plat from PebbleCreek Properties Limited Partnership to subdivide approximately 41.63 acres into 217 single-family residential lots and six open space tracts for a development known as PebbleCreek Unit 55 generally located at the northeast corner of McDowell Road and the Loop 303 zoned PAD, excluding Stipulation No. 6 and Stipulation No. 7. The motion carried by the following vote:

- Ayes 5 Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Pizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp
- Nayes 1 Councilmember Osborne

Excused 1 - Councilmember Hohman

7.3 <u>15-5669</u> <u>JOB CREATION AGREEMENT FOR RECREATIONAL</u> EQUIPMENT INC. (REI)

Economic Development Director Michelle Lawrie presented. Lawrie introduced representatives from Recreational Equipment, Inc (REI). REI is a leading national retailer of outdoor recreation gear and sporting goods with 143 retail stores in 33 states throughout the United States. REI has been ranked as one of the "100 Best Companies to Work For" since the inception of FORTUNE magazine's "Best Places to Work" rankings. REI plans to locate in Goodyear at the Palm Valley 303 Industrial Park and construct a 400,000 square foot facility.

REI is committed to being a steward of the environment. Since 1976, REI has provided more than \$50 million to nonprofit organizations that share the mission of creating and improving access to outdoor spaces across the United States and increasing outdoor participation. In 2014, REI invested \$4.6 million to more than 300 local and national nonprofit organizations for preservation and restoration projects in 600 locations. In Goodyear, REI is already showing its commitment to the environment by ensuring that its facility in Goodyear is constructed with the highest environmentally friendly standards as possible.

REI anticipates that it will employ 125 employees at the Goodyear facility paying an average annual salary of \$35,000 or greater and also paying at least 65% of the health insurance premium costs for employees.

The city agrees to waive or reimburse up to a maximum of \$300,000 in expedited plan review fees related to the new REI facility in Goodyear. However, REI is responsible for paying standard permit and plan review fees.

The city agrees to assist and support the activation of a Foreign Trade Zone (FTZ) site at the location of the REI facility in Goodyear. REI acknowledges that the city makes no guarantees

as to the ultimate activation of this site as an FTZ, nor is the city obligated or required to expend or allocate any city funds towards the activation of an FTZ at this site.

An Economic Impact Study was conducted by ESI Corporation. ESI estimates that REI's operations in Goodyear will total more than \$143,599,038 in total economic output over the next seven years. Direct revenue to the city is estimated to be \$2.6M over the next seven years.

Council welcomed REI to the city. We are looking forward to a great partnership.

MOTION BY Councilmember Stipp, SECONDED BY Councilmember Osborne, to ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 15-1721 APPROVING, AUTHORIZING, AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A JOB CREATION AGREEMENT FOR RECREATIONAL EQUIPMENT INC. (REI); AUTHORIZING CITY STAFF TO TAKE ACTIONS CONSISTENT WITH TERMS OF RESOLUTION AND AGREEMENT; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. The motion carried by the following vote:

- Ayes 6 Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, Councilmember Pizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp
- Excused 1 Councilmember Hohman

7.4 15-5678 AMENDMENT TO THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT WITH THE REGIONAL PUBLIC TRANSIT AUTHORITY FOR AN EXTENSION OF THE ZOOM ROUTE IN GOODYEAR

Grants Administrator Christine McMurdy presented. On August 31, 2015, the City Council approved an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) for the extension of the Zoom Circulator on Thomas Road at a cost of \$66,248. The IGA did not include the total miles/cost of the extension in Goodyear because Valley Metro had not finalized the cost estimates for the October service changes for the region in time for the August 31st City Council meeting. The IGA that was approved on August 31 included a portion of the service on Thomas Road from Estrella Mountain Community College to the YMCA and the additional service along Litchfield and McDowell Roads.

The cost noted in the amendment for the additional miles in Goodyear is \$52,659 bringing the total cost to extend the Zoom Circulator service into Goodyear for FY2015-16 to \$118,907.

The entire extension of the Zoom Circulator into Goodyear will be funded with federal Avondale/Goodyear Urbanized Area Funds, which contribute 50% federal funding to operating cost for local transit service. Goodyear's 50% match will be paid with Local Transportation Assistance Funds (LTAFII). The current balance of LTAFII funds is \$601,926.18. These funds may be used for transit programs and projects only.

Ridership has recently been reported at 19,000 passengers per month. Fares are 50 cents (exact change) each way. The city is adding five bus stops to five existing bus stops, for a total of ten Zoom stops in Goodyear. McMurdy addressed areas of the route that we may want to add stops

to in the future, based on ridership feedback.

The extension of the Zoom Circulator begins on October 26, 2015

Council Discussion:

>Would like staff to check into the possibility of adding solar lighting to the bus bays to increase safety for riders.

>Bus service in this area fulfills a big need for the community.

>Would like demographic information on riders.

Mayor Lord recessed the Regular Meeting at 8:23 p.m.

Mayor Lord reconvened the Regular Meeting at 8:33 p.m.

MOTION BY Councilmember Stipp, SECONDED BY Councilmember Osborne, to AUTHORIZE the City Manager to execute an amendment to the Intergovernmental Agreement with the Regional Public Transit Authority (RPTA) to establish responsibility for an extension of the Zoom Circulator service into Goodyear. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes6 - Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, CouncilmemberPizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp

Excused 1 - Councilmember Hohman

7.5 15-5679 FY15 4TH QUARTER FINANCIAL REPORT AND BUDGET TRANSFERS AND FY16 1ST QUARTER BUDGET TRANSFERS

Budget and Research Manager Lauri Wingenroth presented an overview and highlights of the FY15 initial year-end General Fund results, FY15 Other Major Operating Funds initial year-end results, and reviewed budget transfers for 4th Quarter FY15 and 1st Quarter FY16.

Year-end General Fund results presented may not be the same as information presented in the FY15 annual financial statements due to timing differences, non-budgetary transactions such as depreciation, and last minute accounting adjustments.

Overview of 4th Quarter FY15 & 1st Quarter FY16 Budget Transfers:

- >4th Quarter Transfers Total \$5.9M Most are technical corrections
- >1st Quarter Transfers Total \$8.0M
- **\$5M carryovers reconciled
- **\$2.6M other technical corrections

Budget transfers are done on a monthly basis. Staff will be trying to improve timeliness of budget transfers that address technical corrections. The goal is to bring budget transfers to Council at the last regular meeting of the month.

Council Discussion:

>Asked for a list of CIP contracts that have not been awarded yet.

>Doesn't remember seeing a category for "technical corrections" in past years. Was this called something else? Lange responded that in past years, staff just provided Council with a list of all the transfers, but they weren't sorted into categories. The reason for putting them in categories is so Council can tell the difference between the types of transfer and make it easier to understand.

>Asked that staff provide a detailed explanation when money is moved from a contingency fund to an actual expenditure. He would like to be shown what fund that money is actually coming from.

>Asked for an accounting of the total amount spent on the Goodyear Municipal Complex (GMC). W67uikould also like to know how much additional money has been used for GMC improvements that were made outside of the initial purchase price for the GMC, and if those projects were kept within budget. He wants to know where the extra \$200K was spent. Lange responded that the city financed \$10.5M for the GMC. There were some savings realized for closing costs and expenses of the sale. According to the terms of the financing, we were required to spend those funds within three years. The community room project was budgeted out of the General fund. Staff decided it would be best to use those excess funds for the community room instead of General fund money so that the GMC account could be closed out. Lange stated that staff will look into preparing an accounting, but that funding was extremely complex.

>Asked how much was spent on the Community Room project? City Manager Dalke responded that this project totaled approximately \$300K.

>Asked if we know what we actually spent on Franklin Pierce University, the library, the community room, and the relocation of Police and Fire Administration. Dalke responded that staff will look at what was budgeted and what was spent.

>Asked how much money is in the CIP Contingency Fund? Wingenroth responded that when we go through the budget adoption process, staff identifies a CIP Contingency (or Appropriation for Potential Improvement District). State law requires that we have appropriations to spend. We adopt that appropriation (it has no funding associated with it) so that we have the ability to use new resources, or if we have to tap fund balances. The CIP contingency is used as an appropriation and we don't use it unless we can identify a source to fund the transfer.

>Council asked for assurances that staff is tracking the appropriations and fund transfers.

>Are these processes out of the ordinary? Lange responded that staff was attempting to make things more transparent. We cannot use HTE as a budget development system. We essentially have a manual system consisting of volumes of spreadsheets linked together. He stated that staff is attempting to simplify this process.

>Mayor Lord suggested that the increased information has prompted a lot of confusion for Council. She suggested if Council has more questions, they should meet with staff individually for detailed answers.

MOTION BY Councilmember Campbell, SECONDED BY Councilmember Osborne, to RECEIVE the Fiscal Year 2014-15 (FY15) 4th Quarter Financial Report and approve FY15 4th quarter budget transfers. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes 6 - Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, Councilmember Pizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp Excused 1 - Councilmember Hohman

MOTION BY Councilmember Osborne, SECONDED BY Vice Mayor Lauritano, to RECEIVE and APPROVE the Fiscal Year 2015-16 (FY16) 1st quarter budget transfers. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes 6 - Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, Councilmember Pizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp

Excused 1 - Councilmember Hohman

7.6 15-5683 2015-2016 PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROJECTS

Streets and Traffic Superintendent Ron Sievwright, presented a list of proposed pavement preservation projects that include micro-surfacing, High Density Mineral Bond (HDMB), slurry seal, low volume and high volume cape seals, and Fractured Aggregate Surface Treatment (FAST).

The roadways that will be treated with micro-surfacing:

>Estrella Pkwy - McDowell to Gila River Bridge

>Van Buren Street - Estrella Parkway to approximately 1,000 feet west of Estrella Parkway

>Yuma Road - Estrella Parkway to Goodyear Boulevard (East)

>Roosevelt Street - Estrella Parkway to 157th Avenue

>Portland Street - 157th Avenue to Sarival Avenue

>158th Avenue - Roosevelt Street/Portland Street to Van Buren Street

>McDowell Road - Sarival Avenue to east of Cotton Lane (end of ADOT construction)

>Centerra Drive - Estrella Parkway to Goodyear Boulevard

>Harrison Street - Estrella Parkway to Centerra Drive 3

>173rd Avenue - Pima Street to Lower Buckeye Road

HDMB will be applied in the following neighborhoods:

>Palm Valley Phase 5 (Slurry seal applied on some streets with significant raveling)

>Palm Valley Phase 2

>Canyon Trails

>Pueblo Verde

High volume cape seals will be applied to the following roadways:

>La Cometa - MC 85 to El Sol

>Yuma Road - 175th Avenue to Cotton Lane

>173rd Avenue - Yuma Road to Pima Street

In addition, low volume cape seal will be applied in neighborhoods in Rio Paseo and FAST will be applied to intersection of McDowell Road and Citrus Road. Below is a summary of cost by project type:

Pavement Treatment: >Micro-Surfacing - \$971,134 >High Density Mineral Bond - \$973,451
>Slurry Seal - \$118,364
>Low Volume Cape Seal - \$330,294
>High Volume Cape Seal - \$277,750
>Fractured Aggregate Surface Treatment - \$48,290
*Total \$2,719,283

Costs include a 10% contingency to account for the contractor being paid for actual quantities rather than estimated quantities.

There is \$3M budgeted for FY 15-16 Pavement Management projects, and Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) provided Goodyear \$72,000 for micro-surfacing of McDowell Road between Sarival Avenue and Cotton Lane as part of the I-10/Loop 303 detour routes.

To date \$60,000 from this account has been allocated for improvements to Bullard Avenue south of Riggs Road, and it is anticipated that \$230,000 will be spent on crack sealing and other roadway preparation, and \$24,730 will be spent on materials testing for pavement surface treatments, and \$20,000 will be spent on replacement of non-compliant American's With Disabilities Act (ADA) ramps.

In the event that contingency funding remains after completing all of the projects presented above, city staff will utilize remaining funds on preparation work for pavement management projects anticipated to be completed in upcoming fiscal years. This includes crack sealing and other roadway preparation as well as replacement of non-compliant ADA ramp replacements.

Council Discussion:

>Asked if there will be a warranty period. Some of the areas that were treated last year already have cracks that have reopened. Sievwright responded that the warranty period is five years. City staff does inspections, and if the product is not meeting expectations, the company will come back out and reapply the treatment.

>Asked for clarification regarding the contract process. Will staff come back for approval of the individual contracts that are over \$500K since that is city policy? Sievwright stated that the staff report has a breakdown of the funding amount for each contract. This request is to approve the total expenditure for the projects during the year so those individual contracts can be awarded. There are only a few contractors that do these types of projects, and it is more efficient to bid them all at the same time and scheduling can occur in the Fall and Spring.

>Asked if the contracts already been awarded? Lange stated that those awards are subject to Council approving the expenditure. City Attorney Roric Massey responded that this action approves the budget expenditure so that the contracts can be issued through the procurement process. Usually when we approve a contract, it is just one contract and the contract is available to review, but the contract is not signed until Council approves the expenditure. You are not approving the contract, you are approving the expenditure.

>Asked if an area of concern on Sarival Avenue is included in one of these projects. City Traffic Engineer Luke Albert responded that the primary area of concern is McDowell Road and Citrus Avenue. There is roughly \$1M in ADOT money that will be used for projects on some of the other roads in the near future during Phase II of the I-10/303 Interchange.

MOTION BY Councilmember Campbell, SECONDED BY Councilmember Osborne, to APPROVE a budgeted expenditure of \$2,719,283 to complete pavement management projects in various locations throughout the city. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes6 -Mayor Lord, Vice Mayor Lauritano, Councilmember Osborne, CouncilmemberPizzillo, Councilmember Campbell, and Councilmember Stipp

Excused 1 - Councilmember Hohman

8. INFORMATION ITEMS

<u>1. Comments, Commendations, Report on Current Events and Presentations by Mayor,</u> <u>Council Members, staff or members of the public.</u>

Council attended or reported on the following:

- >Ribbon cutting ceremony at Avilla/NexMetro Community
- >State of the City address
- >Homeless Youth Connection fundraiser
- >Cancer Treatment Centers of America Safety Day Celebration
- >Desert Springs Church art gallery show
- >Make a Difference Day
- >German Air Force Oktoberfest
- >Fall Festival

2. Manager's Summary of Current Events and Reports

The Manager may provide a brief summary of current events; however, there can be discussion only on the following matters:

1. Manager's Update on Council Related Matters:

>Attendance at the Fall Festival was 9,274, which is a 40% increase over last year. The manager's weekly report will contain more details on Make a Difference Day and the Fall Festival.

>The Police Operations Center groundbreaking will be held a week from Wednesday. >Staff would like feedback from Council by the end of this week on the proposed new schedule for the Council Retreat.

2. Update of Legislative Issues:

None.

<u>3. Staff Summary of follow up action required:</u> >Staff will provide Council with follow-up information regarding budget details for the GMC.

<u>4. Council members may direct inquiries to staff:</u> None.

9. FUTURE MEETINGS

11/02/15	Work Session	5:00 p.m.
11/09/15	Work Session	5:00 p.m.
11/09/15	Regular Meeting	6:00 p.m.
11/16/15	Work Session	4:30 p.m.
11/16/15	Regular Meeting	Immediately following the Work Session

10. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to discuss, Mayor Lord adjourned the Regular Meeting at 9:58 p.m.

Maureen Scott, City Clerk

Georgia Lord, Mayor

Date:

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING of the City of Goodyear, Arizona, held on October 26, 2015. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

Dated this _____day of _____, 2015.

Maureen Scott, City Clerk

SEAL: